The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 28

## MAILED

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 1 8 2004

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte GREGORY MICHAEL FREES, ANDREW KEITH HANLON and DANIEL ROBERT STACER

Appeal No. 2002-1800 Application No. 09/191,577

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

On February 27, 2004, a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed (Paper No. 27) and has been matched with this application at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. The IDS needs to be considered by the primary examiner with respect to compliance with the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellants of the primary examiner's decision is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the examiner for such consideration of the IDS, notification to

Appeal No. 2002-1800 Application No. 09/191,577

appellants in writing of consideration, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Bv:

DALE M. SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator

(703) 308-9797

DMS:clm

Appeal No. 2002-1800 Application No. 09/191,577

David W. Lynch Crawford Maunu PLLC 1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390 Mendot Heights, MN 55120